1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 77785) MichaelS. Strimling (State Bar No. 96135) 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120· Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (925) 945-0200 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792 Email: aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com Email: mstrimling@bramsonplutzik.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Burlingame	
10 11	IN THE UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	
12 13	ROBERT BURLINGAME, derivatively on behalf of PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,	Case No. CV <mark>4</mark> :11-06703-CW
14	Plaintiff,	
15	V.	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING
16		SCHEDULE FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT AND LEADERSHIP
17 18	HUGH C. MARTIN, BROOK BYERS, WILLIAM W. ERICSON, MICHAEL HUNKPILLER., RANDALL S. LIVINGSTON, SUSAN SIEGEL, and DAVID SINGER,	STRUCTURE
19	· Defendants,	
20		
21	-and-	
22	PACWIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,	
23	Nominal Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Ι	WHEREAS, plaintiff Robert Burlingame ("Plaintiff') filed his Verified Shareholder	
2	Derivative Complaint on December 29, 2011 (the "Action");	
3	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to file an amended complaint;	
4	WHEREAS, the parties agree that filing an amended complaint and briefmg on any	
5	corresponding motion to dismiss before the motion to dismiss is ruled upon in the related securities	
6	class action Primo v. Pacific BioSciences of California, Inc., Case No. CV-11-6599 (N.D. Cal.) (the	
7	"Primo Action") would create significant redm1dancies and inefficient use of resources of the	
8	parties and the Court;	
9	WHEREAS, the parties met and conferred;	
0	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the interests of judicial economy and the efficient	
11	management of this litigation are best served by (i) awaiting the ruling on the motion to dismiss in	
12	the related Primo Action before Plaintiff files an amended complaint and Defendants have an	
13	opportunity to respond; and (ii) appointing Levi & Korsinsky, LLP, as Lead Com1sel, for purposes	
14	of coordinating and directing the litigation of this matter and any related cases as may be filed in	
15	this jurisdiction;	
16	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate as follows:	
17	1. Plaintiffs amended complaint in this Action shall be filed after the final resolution of	
18	the anticipated motion to dismiss the Primo Action. Therefore, Plaintiff will file an amended	
19	complaint in this Action sixty (60) days after any of the following events:	
20	(i) the Court enters an order denying in its entirety the anticipated motion	
21	to dismiss the Primo Action (and the time to file an appeal has expired without the filing of any	
22	such appeal); or	
23	(ii) the Court enters an order granting in its entirety the anticipated	
24	motion to dismiss the Primo Action with prejudice (and the time to file an appeal has expired	
25	without the filing of any such appeal); or	
26	(iii) the Court enters an order granting in part and denying in part the	
27	anticipated motion to dismiss the Primo Action, and the time. has expired for the plaintiff in the	
2.8		

1	Primo Action to amend, (and the time for the filing of an appeal has expired without the filing of		
2	any such appeal).		
3	For clarification, if the Court in the Primo Action grants the motion to dismiss with leave to amend		
4	Plaintiff will not file his amended complaint in this Action until the motion to dismiss the amende		
5	complaint in the Primo Action is finally resolved.		
6	2. Until such time as an amended complaint is filed in this Action, defendants are not		
7	required to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint in the Action.		
8	3. Upon ;filing of an amended complaint, defendants shall have forty-five (45) days to		
9	answer or otherwise respond to the amended complaint. In the event that defendants move to		
0	dismiss the amended complaint, any opposition to such motion shall be filed within forty-five (45)		
П	days of the filing of that motion. Any reply shall be filed within twenty-one (21) days of the filing		
12	of Plaintiffs opposition.		
13	4. The parties agree that initial disclosures under Rule 26(a) will be deferred until 30		
14	days after the filing of an Amended Complaint in this Action.		
15	5. The parties also agree that no discovery will be commenced until after the filing of		
16	an Amended Complaint in this Action.		
17	6. Every pleading filed in the Action, or in any separate action included herein, must		
18	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19			
20	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
21	In re PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, Lead Case No. CV 5:11-06703-PSG INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION		
22	This Document Relates To:		
23	ALL ACTIONS.		
24	7. The files of the Action will be maintained in one file under Lead Case No. CV 5:11-		
25	06703-CW.		
26			
27			
28			

8. Lead Counsel for the conduct of the Lead Case and subsequently filed related actions	
is:	
LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP	
Eric Andersen 30 Broad Street, 15th Floor	
New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 363-7500	
Facsimile: (212) 363-7171	
9. Lead Counsel shall have sole authority to speak for Plaintiff in matters regarding pre-	
trial procedure, trial, and settlement negotiations so as to facilitate the orderly and efficient	
prosecution of this litigation and to avoid duplicative or unproductive effort.	
!O. Lead Counsel shall be responsible for coordinating all activities and appearances on	
behalf of Plaintiff. No motion, request for discovery, or other pre-trial or trial proceedings will be	
initiated or filed by any plaintiff except through or at the direction of Plaintiffs Lead Counsel.	
11. Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff for the conduct of the Action is:	
BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP Alan R. Plutzik	
MichaelS. Strimling	
2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, CA 94598	
Telephone: (925) 945-0200	
Facsimile: (925) 945-8792  12. Plaintiffs Liaison Counsel will be available and responsible for communications to	
and from this Court, including distributing orders and other directions from the Court to counsel.	
Plaintiffs Liaison Counsel will be responsible for creating and maintaining a master service list of all parties and their respective counsel.	
13. Defendants' counsel may rely upon all agreements made with Plaintiffs Lead	
Counsel, or other duly authorized representative of Plaintiffs Lead Counsel, and such agreements	
will be binding on Plaintiff.	

1	14. This Order shall apply to each case, arising out of the same or substantially the same	
2	transactions or events as these cases, which is subsequently filed in, remanded to, or transferred to	
3	this Court.	
4	15. When a case which properly belongs as part of the <i>In re Pacific Biosciences of</i>	
5	California, Inc., Derivative Litigation, Lead Case No. CV 11-06703-CW, is hereafter filed in the	
6	Court or transferred here from another court, this Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling	
7	to the attention of the clerk of the Court the filing or transfer of any case which might properly be	
8	consolidated as part of the In re Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc. Derivative Litigation, Lead	
9	Case No. CV 11-06703-CW, and counsel are to assist in assuring that counsel in subsequent actions	
10	receive notice of this Order.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	DATED: March"!_, 2012	
16	BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &	
17 18	B];: IU ".//:=:	
19	haelS.	
20	Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 77785)	
21	MichaelS. Strimling (State Bar No. 96135) 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120	
22	Walnut Creek, CA 94598	
23	Telephone: {925) 945-0200 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792	
24	Email: aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com Email: mstrimling@bramsonplutzik.com	
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Burlingame	
26		
27		
28		

WILSON SONSIN!GOODRICH & 1 ROSATI, P.C. 2 ir.l( 3 4 Nina Locker, (State Bar No. 123838) 5 Catherine E. Moreno (State Bar No. 264517) Benjamin M. Crossen (State Bar No. 247560) 6 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 7 8 Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., Hugh C. Martin, Brooks 9 Byers, William C. Ericson, Michael Hunkapiller, Randal S. Livingston, Susan 10 Siegal and David Singer 11 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED, except that the case will be heard in Oakland, and the correct case number and caption are as follows: C 4:11-6703 CW, 14 Robert Burlingame, a derivatively on behalf of PACIFIC BIOSCIENCE OF 15 CALIFORNIA, INC., vs. HUGH C. MARTIN; BRROK BYERS; WILLIAM W. ERICSON; MICHAEL HUNKPILLER; RANDALL S. LIVINGSTON; SUSAN SIEGEL; and DAVID SINGER 16 17 United States District Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28